Exhibit 21-8 Environmental Monitoring

Guide for Review of Air Quality							
Nar	ne of Prog	ram Participant:					
Stat	ff Consult	ed:					
Nar	ne of Grai	nt Program(s):					
Name of Activity/Project Reviewed: Location:							
	ne(s) of viewer(s):			Date:			
with	regular noncon addres more f Negati tructions:	stions that address requirements contion, NOFA, or grant agreement). If impliance. All other questions (questions requirements, but are included to as fully and/or to identify issues that, if it is conclusions to these questions mathrix. This Exhibit is designed to events governing air quality for so	the requirement ions that do not ssist the reviewe not properly add by result in a "co aluate the Res elected sites [is not me contain ther in unde dressed, concern" be	et, HUD must make a fine citation for the requirestanding the participa could result in deficient eing raised, but not a "for entity's (RE's) of the entity's (RE's) of the citation of the entity's (RE's) of the citation of the citation of the entity's (RE's) of the citation of the cita	finding of irement) int's progra performa finding."	f do not ram ance.
to b	e complete	ed for each activity or project re	eviewed.				
<u>Que</u> 1.	estions:						
	Has the Sta	ate designated the area as a "no	n-attainment	" area?			
	Describe I	Basis for Conclusion:				Ves	No
2.							
Has a conformity determination been made as required by the statute (except where the SIP or EPA regulations provide an exception from this requirement)?						Yes	No
	Describe I	Basis for Conclusion:					

21-1 09/2005

Exhibit 21-8 Environmental Monitoring

3.			
	Are the air pollution data, either supported by existing information in the RE's jurisdiction or recorded by area monitoring stations, included in the project's	Yes	No
	Environmental Review Record (ERR) and compared with the National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards?		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
4.			
	Does the ERR contain information on the project's impact on air quality,		
	including deteriorating effects such as added traffic, added incineration etc.?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
5.			
	Does the ERR document that the quality of air at the project site has been given		
	appropriate consideration?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 21-2

Exhibit 21-8 Environmental Monitoring

6.				
	If air quality has been identified as a problem, have mitigating measures been applied?			
	been applied:	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

21-3 09/2005